Non-structural causes of low back pain: Spondyloarthritis (SpA)

Drew Trainor, DO MS FAAPMR FAAPM



dtrainor@denverbackpainspecialists.com







We find what we look for and we look for what we know



Lecture Outline

- Case
- Epidemiology
- Pathophysiology
- Clinical manifestations
- Laboratory findings
- Image characteristics
- Diagnostic Criteria
- Treatment
- Questions/Discussion



- A 42-year-old female presents with a 4-month history of left buttock pain
- Deep and aching with radiation into the posterior thigh and buttock
- Denies numbness, tingling, weakness
- Associated with >1 hour morning stiffness
- Pain improves with physical activity
- Pain was significantly reduced with the use ibuprofen (can no longer take NSAIDs)
- 8-9/10 on NPRS

- Allergies: NKDA
- Medications: Ambien 5 mg PO QHS PRN
- Past Medical History: Ulcerative colitis diagnosed 6-months ago after rupture colon
- Past Surgical History: Partial colectomy on setting of above
- Family History: Non-contributory

- Other relevant data
 - MRI L-spine
 - Severe left L4-5 facet degeneration with noted synovial cyst
 - Prior procedures
 - Left L4-5 facet cyst aspiration and injection
 - No benefit in anesthetic or corticosteroid phase
 - Epidural Steroid Injection (type a location unknown by patient)
 - Non-diagnostic

PERTINENT FINDINGS: She has a normal, non-antalgic, non-spastic, non-Trendelenburg, non-compensated Trendelenburg gait. She has mild tenderness to palpation through the lumbar paraspinals. She has very minimally positive facet loading maneuvers. She has marked tenderness to palpation at the left PSIS and margins of the sacroiliac joints. She likewise has mild tenderness at the left greater trochanter. She is neurologically intact in the bilateral lower extremities including strength, sensation and reflexes. Her Babinski is downgoing bilaterally, her proprioception is intact at the great toe. She has markedly positive Faber's, as well as sacral spring test on the left.

NOTE:

0 cm above ilia 5 cm below iliac crest

 <5 cm excursion indicates lumbar segmental restriction

- Plan
 - Therapy: patient working 100 + hours/week not logistically possible
 - Medications: Tylenol 1000 mg PO TID, Tramadol 50 mg PO Q6H PRN, in future consider Celebrex after clearance with GI
 - Injections: left SIJ injection under fluoroscopy
 - Surgical considerations: None.
 - Diagnostics: HLA-B27, ESR, CRP





75% IMPROVEMENT IN ANESTHETIC PHASE

- HLA-B27 Positive
- CRP Elevated
- ESR Elevated

- Plan
 - Rheumatology referral for consideration of TNF inhibitor therapy



Spondyloarthritis (SpA)

- Ankylosing Spondylitis (AS)
- Non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (nr-SpA)
- Psoriatic arthritis
- Arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD-SpA)
 - Ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease
- Reactive arthritis (ReA)
 - chlamydia, campylobacter, salmonella, shigella
- Undifferentiated Spondyloarthropathy (uSpA)

Spondyloarthritis (SpA)

- More recent classification
 - Axial
 - Peripheral
- Share many phenotypical characteristics (discussed later)

Why do we care?

- Higher incidence and prevalence than we realize
- Early identification has consequences
 - Early treatment makes a difference
- As surgeons, pain physicians, and physiatrists will likely be the first ones to encounter these patients
 - Back pain is most common presenting complaints

Epidemiology of SpA

- Incidence
 - 0.48 to 63/100,000
- Prevalence
 - 0.1% to 2.5% in general population
 - 4 million people in the U.S. living with SpA
 - Estimated to be 5% in the low back pain population
 - Should see more than one patient per week
 - Higher than rheumatoid arthritis (RA)

Epidemiology of SpA

• Highly Heritable

- Relative Risk
 - 1st degree relative: 75.5
 - 2nd degree relative: 20.2
 - 3rd degree relative: 3.5



Pathophysiology of SpA

- Not well understood
 - Likely polygenic

| 291Initiation172Not specifiednophyte formation143Progression114Not specifiedene86Not specifiedmspecified)77Initiationition77Initiationition69Initiation69InitiationNot specified69InitiationNot specified69Not specifiedNot specified1112Not specifiedInitiation69InitiationNot specified1112InitiationInitiation1412Initiation1511Not specified1612Initiation1710Initiation1817Initiation19317Not specified11317Not specified11317Not specified11317Not specified11222Progression222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified222Not specified3222 <th>Keyword</th> <th>Count</th> <th>Rank</th> <th>Disease phase</th> | Keyword | Count | Rank | Disease phase |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|
| 172Not specifiednophyte formation143Progression1143Progression1143Not specifiedene86Not specified186Not specifiedunspecified)77Initiationtion77Initiation69Not specified69Not specified69Not specified711Not specified1112Initiation69Not specified11Not specified1211Not specified1211Initiation1211Initiation1317Initiation1817Initiation19317Not specified1 risk (unspecified)317Not specified1 risk (unspecified)317Not specified1 risk saltenations222Progression222Not specified22210222Not specified10222Not specified10222Not specified11222Not specified12222Not specified1317Not specified14222Not specified15222Not specified16222Not specified1 | HLA-B27 | 29 | 1 | Initiation |
| nophyte formation143Progression114Not specifiedme85Not specifieda6Not specifiedition77Initiationtion77Initiation69Not specified69Not specified69Not specified611Not specified69Initiation69Not specified112Initiation112Initiation112Initiation112Initiation112Initiation112Initiation113171Initiation117Not specified117Not specified117Not specified117Not specified1222117117Not specified122212221222122212221222122212221222122212221222122212221222122222 <td< td=""><td>ERAPI</td><td>17</td><td>2</td><td>Not specified</td></td<> | ERAPI | 17 | 2 | Not specified |
| 114Not specifiedene85Not specifiedmapscified)77Initiationtion77Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation69Initiation112Initiation1412Initiation112Initiation117Initiation117Not specified117Not specified1222Progression1222Initiation1222Initiation1222Not specified1222Not specified1222Not specified1222Not specified22222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified <td>Bone/syndesmophyte formation</td> <td>14</td> <td>3</td> <td>Progression</td> | Bone/syndesmophyte formation | 14 | 3 | Progression |
| ene85Not specifiedan specified)77Initiationtion77Initiation69Initiation69Not specified69Not specified712Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation1 RekPI interaction4121 risk (unspecified)3171 risk (unspecified)3171 risk specified)3171 risk specified3171 risk specified2222Not specified1 risk specified2222Not specified1 risk specified2222Not specified1 risk specified2222Not specified1 risk specified2222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified | Microbiome | 11 | 4 | Not specified |
| 86Not specifiedunspecified)77Initiationtion77Initiation69Initiation69Not specified11Not specified112Initiation412Initiation1 ERAPI interaction4121 risk (unspecified)3171 microbiome3171 microbiome3171 microbiome3171 microbiome22322231731731742224222222222232422224242424253710537106222424242526262 | IL-23/IL-23 gene | 8 | 5 | Not specified |
| unspecified)77Initiationtion77Initiation69Not specified511Not specified412Not specified1 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation4121 flammation111 flammation3171 flammation3171 microbione3171 microbione3171 stasis altenations2221 flammation2221 flammation2221 microbione3171 microbione3171 microbione222222Not specified1 microbione222222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified3222Not specified4222Not specified52 | TNF-alpha | 8 | 6 | Not specified |
| tion 7 7 Initiation 6 9 Initiation 6 9 Not specified 5 11 Not specified 4 12 Not specified 4 12 Initiation 1 risk (unspecified) 3 17 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Not specified 1 microbiome 3 17 Not specified 1 microbiome 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Not specified 1 microbiome 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation Initiation 1 microbiome 2 22 Not specified | Genetic risk (unspecified) | 7 | 7 | Initiation |
| 69Initiation69Not specified511Not specified412Not specifiedinflammation412Initiation1 ERAP1 interaction412Initiation1 eras412Initiation69Not specifiedInitiation1 eras412Initiation1 microbiome317Initiation1 microbiome317Not specifiedstasis alterations222Progression1 ng222Initiation1 eras222Not specifiedstasis alterations222Not specified1 eras222Not specified1 eras222Not specified1 eras222Not specified1 eras222Not specified1 eras222Not specified222Not specified2222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified4222Not specified5222Not specified6222Not specified7222Not specified8222 <td>Gut inflammation</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>Initiation</td> | Gut inflammation | 7 | 7 | Initiation |
| 69Not specified511Not specified412Not specifiedinflammation4121 ERAPI interaction412412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation412Initiation63171Initiation317Not specifieda317Not specified317522263177Not specified1222122212221222222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified222Not specified3222Not specified4222Not specified5222Not specified6222Not specified7222< | Enthesitis | 6 | 9 | Initiation |
| 5 11 Not specified 4 12 Not specified 1nitiation 4 12 1RAP1 interaction 4 12 1RAP1 interaction 4 12 1rist 4 12 1rist 12 Initiation 1rist 12 Initiation 1 rist 12 Initiation 1 rist 12 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Initiation 1 17 Not specified 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 1 17 Not specified 1 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 1 2 22 Initiation 1 3 17 Not specified 1 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified <tr< td=""><td>T cells</td><td>6</td><td>9</td><td>Not specified</td></tr<> | T cells | 6 | 9 | Not specified |
| 412Not specifiedinflammation412InitiationI ERAPI interaction412Initiation412Initiation1res412Not specified1 risk (unspecified)317Initiation1 microbiome317Initiation1 microbiome317Not specifiedc317Not specifiedstasis alternations222Progression1222Initiation1222Initiation1222Not specified222Not specified2222Not specified222Not specified322242225222422252224222522242224222522242225222422252 </td <td>IL-17</td> <td>5</td> <td>11</td> <td>Not specified</td> | IL-17 | 5 | 11 | Not specified |
| inflammation 4 12 Initiation I ERAPI interaction 4 12 Initiation I ERAPI interaction 4 12 Initiation 4 12 Initiation 12 Initiation 4 12 Initiation 12 Initiation 1 risk (unspecified) 3 17 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified Not specified a 17 Not specified Not specified a 17 Not specified Not specified stasis alterations 2 22 Progression ing 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified | B cells | 4 | 12 | Not specified |
| I ERAPI interaction 4 12 Initiation 4 12 Initiation ress 4 12 Mot specified 1 risk (unspecified) 3 17 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Not specified s 3 17 Not specified s 3 17 Not specified s 3 17 Not specified stasis alterations 2 22 Progression 1 2 22 Initiation 1 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified | Gut and joint inflammation | 4 | 12 | Initiation |
| 412Initiationress412Not specifiedd risk (unspecified)317Initiation1 microbiome317Initiation317Not specifiede317Not specifieda17Not specifiedc222Progressioning222Progression222Not specifiedcells222Not specifiedcells222Not specifiedg2222Not specifiedg2222Not specifiedg222Not specifiedg222Progressiong222Progression | HLA-B27 and ERAP1 interaction | 4 | 12 | Initiation |
| ress 4 12 Not specified 1 risk (unspecified) 3 17 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Initiation 3 17 Not specified e 3 17 Not specified stasis altenations 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 1 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 3 3 2 2 2 Not specified 3 3 2 2 2 2 Not specified 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | IL-1 | 4 | 12 | Initiation |
| d risk (unspecified) 3 17 Initiation 1 microbiome 3 17 Initiation 3 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified stasis altentions 2 22 ing 2 22 2 22 Progression 1 2 22 cells 2 22 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified | Mechanical stress | 4 | 12 | Not specified |
| 1 microbione 3 17 Initiation 3 17 Not specified a 3 17 Not specified a 17 Not specified 3 17 Not specified atasis alterations 2 22 2 22 Progression 1 2 22 1 Initiation 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified cells 2 22 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified | Environmental risk (unspecified) | 3 | 17 | Initiation |
| 3 17 Not specified s 3 17 Not specified 3 17 Not specified 3 17 Not specified stasis alterations 2 22 2 22 Initiation 10 2 22 11 11 Not specified 2 22 Progression 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified | HLA-B27 and microbiome | 3 | 17 | Initiation |
| a 3 17 Not specified 3 17 Not specified 3 17 Not specified 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 10 2 22 Progression 2 22 Progression 2 2 0 22 Not specified 2 2 Not specified 0 22 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 0 22 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 22 Not specified 3 | Macrophages | 3 | 17 | Not specified |
| 3 17 Not specified stasis alterations 2 22 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified | PTGER4 gene | 3 | 17 | Not specified |
| stasis altentions 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 1 2 22 Progression 2 22 Progression 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified | Th17 cells | 3 | 17 | Not specified |
| 2 22 Initiation ing 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 4 2 22 Not specified 5 2 22 Not specified | Bone homoeostasis alterations | 2 | 22 | Progression |
| ing 2 22 Progression 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 3 2 22 Not specified 4 2 22 Not specified 5 2 22 Not specified 5 2 22 Not specified 5 2 22 Not specified | CD8+ T cells | 2 | 22 | Initiation |
| 2 22 Initiation 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Initiation 8 2 22 Dot specified 9 2 22 Not specified 9 2 22 Not specified 9 2 22 Progression | DKK1 signalling | 2 | 22 | Progression |
| 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Initiation g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified | HLA-B60 | 2 | 22 | Initiation |
| cells 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Initiation g 2 22 y 2 22 y 2 22 | IFN-gamma | 2 | 22 | Not specified |
| 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Initiation g 2 22 Not specified t 2 22 Progression | IL-23+ Th17-cells | 2 | 22 | Not specified |
| 2 22 Not specified 2 22 Initiation g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Progression | IL-6 | 2 | 22 | Not specified |
| 2 22 Initiation g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Progression | NK cells | 2 | 22 | Not specified |
| g 2 22 Not specified g 2 22 Progression | RUNX3 gene | 2 | 22 | Initiation |
| 2 22 Progression | TLR signalling | 2 | 22 | Not specified |
| | TLR signalling Wnt signalling | 2 2 | 22 22 | |

HLA-B27, human kuccoyfe artigen B27, HLA-B60, human leucoyfe artigen B69, ERAPJ, endophasmic reticulum aminopeptidae 1; PTGER4, prostaglandin E receptor 4; RUNX3, nutr-tealed transcription factor 3; IL-16/17/23, interleuklin-1/6/17/23, IRN-ganma, interferon gamma; TNF-alpha, tamour necrosis factor alpha; NK cell, natural killer cell; DKK1, lokibel 1; TLF, lob-Bie receptor.

Keywork that were only used once: "AdvJotsie," "ANTNER,""Atcinflammatory," "Bakeria," "BMP, "CARD5," "CABD6," "CMBmydia," CYP2D6," "EDL3," "ERAP2," "Gendes," "Got defences," "HL-AB27 and IL-22 III-27," "HL-AB27 and Inflammation," "HL-AB27 and IL-22 III-22 II

*According to the majority of authors.

The most likely mode of inheritance is polygenic with multiplicative interaction among loci.⁸ By far, the most important genetic risk factor is human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-B27 and prevalence of HLA-B27 generally mirrors the

Pathophysiology of SpA

- HLA-B27 by far most common
 - Present in 70-90% of patients with AS
 - Present in 6% of general population
 - NOT required for diagnosis
- 3 main hypothesis
 - 1. Arthritogenic peptide hypothesis
 - 2. Heavy chain homodimer hypothesis
 - 3. HLAB27 misfolding hypothesis



FIGURE 2 Overview of hypotheses explaining role of HLA-B27 in axial spondyloarthritis. A, Arthritogenic peptide hypothesis: Pathogen- or self- derived peptides bound to conventional HLA-B27/ beta-2 microglobulin complexes are recognized by autoreactive CD8+ T cells through the T-cell receptor (TCR). B, Cell surface HLA- B27 free heavy chain homodimer expression hypothesis: HLA-B27 free heavy chains including dysfunctional HLA-B27 homodimers are expressed at the cell surface and activate cells bearing killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIR) and/or leucocyte immunoglobulin-like receptors such as CD4+ cells or NK cells. C, Misfolded HLA-B27 hypothesis: Misfolding of HLA-B27 within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) causes an unfolded protein response (UPR) or other form of cellular stress, or autophagy, which has downstream effects on cellular function (eg, excessive IL-23 release)

Koning, Anoek De, et al. "Pathophysiology of Axial Spondyloarthritis: Consensus and Controversies." *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 2018, doi:10.1111/eci.12913.

Clinical Manifestations of SpA

- Non-musculoskeletal
 - Uveitis
 - Psoriasis
 - Features of IBD
 - Genital Lesions
 - In Reactive Arthritis

Uveitis



Psoriasis







IBD

- Abdominal pain
- Vomiting
- Diarrhea
- Rectal Bleeding
- Severe internal cramps/muscle spasms in the region of the pelvis
- Weight Loss

Reactive Arthritis

- "Can't see, pee, or climb a tree"
 - Conjunctivitis
 - Urethritis
 - Arthritis



Clinical Manifestations of SpA

- Musculoskeletal features
 - Inflammatory back pain
 - Peripheral arthritis
 - Enthesitis (enthesopathy)
 - Dactylitis

Inflammatory Back Pain

- Young age at onset, typically seen in those under 40 years old
- Gradual onset of pain
- Symptoms of back pain improve with exercise
- Response to NSAIDs
- Pain does not improve with rest
- Pain at night, often waking a person in the second half of the night
- Morning stiffness that lasts for more than 30 minutes
- Pain lasting for more than 3 months
- Alternating buttock pain

Peripheral Arthritis

- Acute Onset
- Predominately effects the lower extremities
- Associated with swelling
- Usually asymmetric
- Effects 1 to 3 joints
 - Oligoarthritis



Enthesitis (enthesopathy)

- Inflammation
 - Ligament to bone
 - Tendon to bone
 - Joint capsule
 - Fascia to bone
- Most commonly manifested as:
 - Achilles tendinopathy
 - Plantar fasciitis





Dactylitis









Laboratory Findings

- HLA-B27
 - Positive in 70-90% of patients with AS
 - Positive in 50% to 70% of patients with other forms of SpA
 - Note: HLA-B27 positivity is NOT diagnostic in itself
 - Note even required for the diagnosis
 - Seen in 6% of the general population
- Acute Phase Reactants (ESR and CRP)
 - Elevated in 35% to 50% of patients
 - Elevated CRP is an indication of:
 - Radiographic disease progression
 - Positive response to TNF alpha inhibitors

Imaging Findings

- Plain Radiographs
 - Axial
 - Only specific finding is evidence of **sacroiliitis** (images to follow)
 - May take years to develop
 - Bridging axial syndesmophytes seen on <5% of patients in the absence of sacroiliitis
 - 50% of patients develop syndesmophytes during course of disease
 - Peripheral
 - Erosive joint changes
 - Evidence of Enthesitis

Sacroiliitis on Plain Radiographs

- Graded 0 to 4
 - Grade 0: Normal
 - Grade 1: Suspicious changes
 - Grade 2: Minimal abnormality Small localized areas with erosions or sclerosis, without alteration in the joint width
 - Grade 3: Unequivocal abnormality Moderate or advanced sacroiliitis with erosions, evidence of sclerosis, widening, narrowing, or partial ankylosis
 - Grade 4: Severe abnormality Total ankylosis
- Considered "Positive for Suggestion of SpA" if:
 - Grade 2 or higher bilateral
 - Grade 3 or higher unilateral

Sacroiliitis on Plain Radiographs (Grade 2)



Both sacroiliac (SI) joints show ill-defined margins, sclerosis, and especially at the left SI joint an irregular joint space (grade 2 bilaterally).

Sacroiliitis on Plain Radiographs (Grade 3)



Sclerosis at the iliac side, widespread erosions, pseudo widening of the joint space, blurring of the joint margins in both sacroiliac (SI) joints (bilateral grade 3).

Sacroiliitis on Plain Radiographs (Grade 4)



Both sacroiliac (SI) joints show complete ankylosis (grade 4).

Syndesmophytes on Plain Radiographs



Differentiation from DISH

| Table 1. Distinguishing features of DISH and AS | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| | DISH | AS | | |
| Usual age of onset | > 50 y | < 40 y | | |
| Dorsal kyphosis | Frequent | Very frequent | | |
| Limitation of spinal mobility | Frequent | Very frequent | | |
| Pain | Unusual | Very frequent | | |
| Limitation of chest expansion | Frequent | Very frequent | | |
| Roentgenography | | | | |
| Hyperostosis | Very frequent | Frequent | | |
| SI joint erosion | Absent | Very frequent | | |
| SI joint (synovial) obliteration | Unusual | Very frequent | | |
| SI joint (ligamentous) obliteration | Frequent | Very frequent | | |
| Apophyseal joint obliteration | Absent | Very frequent | | |
| ALL ossification | Very frequent | Unusual | | |
| PLL ossification | Very frequent | Frequent | | |
| Syndesmophytes | Absent | Unusual | | |
| Enthesopathies (whiskering) with erosions | Absent | Very frequent | | |
| Enthesopathies (whiskering) without erosions | Very frequent | Frequent | | |
| HLA-B27 (European "whites") [63,64] | About 8% | About 90% | | |
| HLA-B27 (African Americans) [63,64] | About 2% | About 50% | | |

Imaging Findings of Enthesitis



Evolution in the concepts of SpA

- Problems with Radiographic Evidence and AS
 - Decision on radiographs challenging
 - Late Dx
 - Miss opportunity for early treatment TNF-alpha antagonists effective
 - Particularly if start in early stage
- Solution: New concepts
 - Non-radiographic stage of axial SpA identified with MRI
 - Inflammatory Back Pain

MRI and SpA

- Definition of Sacroiliitis according to the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS)
 - Bone marrow edema (BME)
 - short tau inversion recovery (STIR)
 - T2-weighted images with fat suppression
 - Bright
 - Dark on T1

MRI and SpA

Magnetic resonance imaging of a patient with active sacroiliitis



Panel A shows the T1 sequence. Panel B shows the STIR sequence. The bright white areas in STIR, which are not seen in the T1, are the areas of bone edema (arrows).

MRI and SpA



Diagnostic Criteria- Axial SpA



Diagnostic Criteria- Axial SpA



Diagnostic Criteria- Peripheral SpA



Source: Int J Clin Rheum © 2012 Future Medicine Ltd

Treatment

SPECIAL ARTICLE

American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network 2015 Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis

Michael M. Ward,¹ Atul Deodhar,² Elie A. Akl,³ Andrew Lui,⁴ Joerg Ermann,⁵ Lianne S. Gensler,⁴ Judith A. Smith,⁶ David Borenstein,⁷ Jayme Hiratzka,² Pamela F. Weiss,⁸ Robert D. Inman,⁹ Vikas Majithia,¹⁰ Nigil Haroon,⁹ Walter P. Maksymowych,¹¹ Janet Joyce,¹² Bruce M. Clark,¹³ Robert A. Colbert,¹ Mark P. Figgie,¹⁴ David S. Hallegua,¹⁵ Pamela E. Prete,¹⁶ James T. Rosenbaum,¹⁷ Judith A. Stebulis,¹⁸ Filip van den Bosch,¹⁹ David T. Y. Yu,²⁰ Amy S. Miller,¹² John D. Reveille,²¹ and Liron Caplan²²

Treatment

| Table 2. | Strength | of | recommendations | in | GRADE * |
|----------|----------|----|-----------------|----|----------------|
|----------|----------|----|-----------------|----|----------------|

| Strength | Interpretation | Implications for clinicians | Implications for policymakers |
|------------------------|--|---|---|
| Strongly in favor | Almost all informed patients would choose to receive the intervention | Should be accepted by most patients to whom it is offered | Should be adopted as policy |
| Conditionally in favor | Most informed patients would choose the intervention, but a sizable | Large role for education and shared decision-making | Requires stakeholder engagement and discussion |
| Conditionally against | Most informed patients would not choose the intervention, but a small minority would | Large role for education and shared decision-making | Requires stakeholder engagement and discussion |
| Strongly against | Most patients should not receive the intervention | Should not be offered to patients | Should be adopted as policy |

* GRADE = Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

- Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs)
 - Strongly Recommend
 - Treatment with NSAIDs
 - Conditionally Recommend
 - Continuous treatment with NSAIDs vs. on-demand NSAIDS
 - No recommendations on any particular NSAID over another

NSAIDS

- Maximum dose is usually required
- 70% to 80% of patients with AS report significant improvement
- Trials should last at least 4-weeks
- Consider more COX-2 selective in addition to GI PPx
- If cardiac risk factors consider naproxen



- Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors (TNFi) (adalimumab, etanercept, and infliximab)
 - Strongly recommend
 - TNFi in patients with active AS despite treatment with NSAIDs
 - Do not recommend one TNFi over another, except:
 - Monoclonal Ab over etanercept in patients with IBD or iritis/uveitis

• TNFi

- Callhof, et al. 2014
 - Systematic Review
 - 2400 patients
 - Statistically significant improvement in
 - Disease activity
 - Function
- Does timing of treatment matter?
 - Studies show that patients are more likely to experience remission, if:
 - Shorter disease duration, which is the best predictor
 - Elevated CRP
 - Young age

Callhoff, Johanna, et al. "Efficacy of TNFα Blockers in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis and Non-Radiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis: a Meta-Analysis." Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, vol. 74, no. 6, 2014, pp. 1241–1248., doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-205322.

• Glucocorticoids

- Strongly recommend
 - AGAINST the use of systemic steroids
 - Note: did not address procedural interventions on guidelines
- Literature Review on Sacroiliac Joint Injections in AS
 - Limited to case reports



Treatment- DMARDs

- DMARDs
 - Little evidence to support their use in AS
 - Some evidence that Sulfasalazine may be beneficial
 - More effective in peripheral disease than axial disease

Treatment- Physical Therapy

- Strong recommend
 - Physical Therapy vs. no Physical Therapy
- Conditionally recommend
 - Active physical therapy (supervised exercise) vs. passive therapy interventions (massage, ultrasound, and other thermal modalities)
 - Land based vs. aquatic based exercises

Treatment- Physical Therapy

• Goals

- alleviate pain
- increase spinal mobility
- Improve functional capacity
- Reduce morning stiffness
- Correct postural deformities
- Increase mobility
- Improve the psychosocial status of the patients

Treatment- Physical Therapy

- Common deformities
 - Excessive Thoracic Kyphosis
 - Compensatory Cervical Lordosis
 - Resulting hip flexion contracture
- Deformity prevention
 - Proper sleeping posture on a solid, flat bed without pillow. Frequent sleeping or lying in prone position.
 - Posture exercises with upper back hyperextension (performed with avoidance of lumbar hyperextension).
 - Breathing exercises to increase or maintain rib cage excursion, as well as instruction in abdominothoracic breathing.
 - Range of motion exercises for hips and knees to prevent flexion limitation and contractures.
 - Periodic rest periods with avoidance of fatigue.
 - Bracing or corseting (combined with exercises).

Treatment- Prevention

- Conditionally recommend
 - Screening for osteoporosis/osteopenia with DXA scan
- Strongly recommend against
 - Screening for cardiac conduction defects with ECG
 - Screening for valvular heart disease with Echo

Common Laboratory Tests for other Rheumatologic Diseases

- Rheumatoid Factor (RF)- only positive in 60% of patients at time of diagnosis
- Anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP) antibodies- highly sensitive (76%) and specific (96%) for RA
- Antinuclear antibody (ANA)- sensitive but not necessarily specific
 - 98% of patients with SLE
 - 40%-to 70% of those with other connective tissue
 - 20% with autoimmune thyroid and liver disease
 - 5% of general population
- Anti double-stranded DNA (anti dsDNA)- specific (95%) but not sensitive (60%) for SLE

Conclusions

- Spondyloarthritis is more common than we realize
 - If we don't look for it we won't find it
- Early diagnosis is important and associated with higher rate of response to treatment
- HLA-B27 is common (70-90%) but is NOT required for the diagnosis
- Spondyloarthritis is associated with non-musculoskeletal conditions
 - ASK as part of HPI in patients with no obvious structural cause of back pain (especially if <45 years)
- NSAIDs, TNFi, and PT are mainstays of treatment

Questions?

References

- Braun J, van den Berg R, Baraliakos X, et al. 2010 update of the ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70:896.
- Zochling J, van der Heijde D, Burgos-Vargas R, et al. ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65:442.
- Smolen JS, Braun J, Dougados M, et al. Treating spondyloarthritis, including ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis, to target: recommendations of an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73:6.
- Ward MM, Deodhar A, Akl EA, et al. American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network 2015 Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016; 68:282.
- Jang JH, Green CE, Assassi S, et al. The contribution of disease activity on functional limitations over time through psychological mediators: a 12-month longitudinal study in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2011; 50:2087.
- Calin A, Garrett S, Whitelock H, et al. A new approach to defining functional ability in ankylosing spondylitis: the development of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index. J Rheumatol 1994; 21:2281.
- Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI) http://basdai.com/BASFI.php (Accessed on February 10, 2014).
- Doward LC, Spoorenberg A, Cook SA, et al. Development of the ASQoL: a quality of life instrument specific to ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2003; 62:20.
- Ankylosing spondylitis quality of life instrument (ASQoI) http://ard.bmj.com.proxy.hsl.ucdenver.edu/content/suppl/2002/12/20/62.1.20.DC1/62120Appendices.pdf (Accessed on February 10, 2014).
- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, et al. A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index. J Rheumatol 1994; 21:2286.
- van der Heijde D, Lie E, Kvien TK, et al. ASDAS, a highly discriminatory ASAS-endorsed disease activity score in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68:1811.
- Vastesaeger N, Cruyssen BV, Mulero J, et al. ASDAS high disease activity versus BASDAI elevation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis as selection criterion for anti-TNF therapy. Reumatol Clin 2014; 10:204.
- Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society. Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score. http://www.asas-group.org/research.php?id=01#null (Accessed on October 15, 2013).
- Machado P, van der Heijde D. How to measure disease activity in axial spondyloarthritis? Curr Opin Rheumatol 2011; 23:339.
- Assassi S, Weisman MH, Lee M, et al. New population-based reference values for spinal mobility measures based on the 2009-2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014; 66:2628.
- Chilton-Mitchell L, Martindale J, Hart A, Goodacre L. Normative values for the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index in a UK population. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2013; 52:2086.
- Sieper J, Rudwaleit M, Baraliakos X, et al. The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68 Suppl 2:ii1.